

Isomorphisms of Hilbert C*-Modules and *-Isomorphisms of Related Operator C*-Algebras

Michael Frank

February 7, 2008

Abstract

Let \mathcal{M} be a Banach C*-module over a C*-algebra A carrying two A -valued inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ which induce equivalent to the given one norms on \mathcal{M} . Then the appropriate unital C*-algebras of adjointable bounded A -linear operators on the Hilbert A -modules $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1\}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2\}$ are shown to be *-isomorphic if and only if there exists a bounded A -linear isomorphism S of these two Hilbert A -modules satisfying the identity $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 \equiv \langle S(\cdot), S(\cdot) \rangle_1$. This result extends other equivalent descriptions due to L. G. Brown, H. Lin and E. C. Lance. An example of two non-isomorphic Hilbert C*-modules with *-isomorphic C*-algebras of "compact"/adjointable bounded module operators is indicated.

Investigations in operator and C*-theory make often use of C*-modules as a tool for proving, especially of Banach and Hilbert C*-modules. Impressing examples of such applications are G. G. Kasparov's approach to K- and KK-theory of C*-algebras [6, 15] or the investigations of M. Baillel, Y. Denizeau and J.-F. Havet [1] and of Y. Watatani [14] on (normal) conditional expectations of finite index on W*-algebras and C*-algebras. In addition, the theory of Hilbert C*-modules is interesting in its own.

Our standard sources of reference to Hilbert C*-module theory are the papers [12, 8, 4, 5], chapters in [6, 15] and the book of E. C. Lance [10]. We make the convention that all C*-modules of the present paper are left modules by definition. A *pre-Hilbert A -module over a C*-algebra A* is an A -module \mathcal{M} equipped with an A -valued mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ which is A -linear in the first argument and has the properties:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle^* \quad , \quad \langle x, x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{with equality iff} \quad x = 0 \quad .$$

The mapping $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called *the A -valued inner product on \mathcal{M}* . A pre-Hilbert A -module $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ is *Hilbert* if and only if it is complete with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\| = \|\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\|_A^{1/2}$. We always assume that the linear structures of A and \mathcal{M} are compatible.

One of the key problems of Hilbert C*-module theory is the question of isomorphism of Hilbert C*-modules. First of all, they can be isomorphic as Banach A -modules. But there is another natural definition: Two Hilbert A -modules $\{\mathcal{M}_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1\}$, $\{\mathcal{M}_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2\}$ over a fixed C*-algebra A are *isomorphic as Hilbert C*-modules* if and only if there exists a bijective bounded A -linear mapping $S : \mathcal{M}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_2$ such that the identity $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 \equiv \langle S(\cdot), S(\cdot) \rangle_2$ is valid on $\mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_1$. In 1985 L. G. Brown presented two examples of

Hilbert C^* -modules which are isomorphic as Banach C^* -modules but which are non-isomorphic as Hilbert C^* -modules, cf. [2, 11, 5]. This result was very surprising since Hilbert space theory, the classical investigations on Hilbert C^* -modules like [12, 8], G. G. Kasparov's approach to KK-theory of C^* -algebras relying on countably generated Hilbert C^* -modules and other well-known investigations in this field did not give any indication of such a serious obstacle in the general theory of Hilbert C^* -modules. L. G. Brown obtained his examples from the theory of different kinds of multipliers of C^* -algebras without identity. Furthermore, making use of the results of the Ph.D. thesis of Nien-Tsu Shen [13] he proved the following: For a Banach C^* -module \mathcal{M} over a C^* -algebra A carrying two A -valued inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ which induce equivalent to the given one norms on \mathcal{M} the appropriate C^* -algebras of "compact" bounded A -linear operators on the Hilbert A -modules $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1\}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2\}$ are $*$ -isomorphic if and only if there exists a bounded A -linear isomorphism S of these two Hilbert A -modules satisfying $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 \equiv \langle S(\cdot), S(\cdot) \rangle_1$, cf. [2, Thm. 4.2, Prop. 4.4] together with [5, Prop. 2.3], ([3]). By definition, the set of "compact" operators $K_A(\mathcal{M})$ on a Hilbert A -module $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$ is defined as the norm-closure of the set $K_A^0(\mathcal{M})$ of all finite linear combinations of the operators

$$\{\theta_{x,y} : \theta_{x,y}(z) = \langle z, x \rangle y \text{ for every } x, y, z \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

It is a C^* -subalgebra and a two-sided ideal of $\text{End}_A^*(\mathcal{M})$, the set of all adjointable bounded A -linear operators on $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\}$, what is the multiplier C^* -algebra of $K_A(\mathcal{M})$ by [8, Thm. 1]. Note, that in difference to the well-known situation for Hilbert spaces, the properties of an operator to be "compact" or to possess an adjoint depend heavily on the choice of the A -valued inner product on \mathcal{M} . These properties are not invariant even up to isomorphic Hilbert structures on \mathcal{M} , in general, cf. [5]. We make the convention that operators T which are "compact"/adjointable with respect to some A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i$ will be marked $T^{(i)}$ to note where this property arises from. The same will be done for sets of such operators.

In 1994 E. C. Lance showed that two Hilbert C^* -modules are isomorphic as Hilbert C^* -modules if and only if they are isometrically isomorphic as Banach C^* -modules ([9]) opening the geometrical background of this functional-analytical problem and extending a central result for C^* -algebras: C^* -algebras are isometrically multiplicatively isomorphic if and only if they are $*$ -isomorphic, [7, Thm. 7, Lemma 8].

At the contrary, non-isomorphic Hilbert structures on a given Hilbert A -module \mathcal{M} over a C^* -algebra A can not appear at all if \mathcal{M} is *self-dual*, i. e. every bounded module map $r : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow A$ is of the form $\langle \cdot, a_r \rangle$ for some element $a_r \in \mathcal{M}$ (cf. [4, Prop. 2.2, Cor. 2.3]), or if A is unital and \mathcal{M} is *countably generated*, i. e. there exists a countably set of generators inside \mathcal{M} such that the set of all finite A -linear combinations of generators is norm-dense in \mathcal{M} (cf. [2, Cor. 4.8, Thm. 4.9] together with [6, Cor. 1.1.25] and [5, Prop. 2.3]).

Now, we come to the goal of the present paper: Whether for a Banach C^* -module \mathcal{M} over a C^* -algebra A carrying two A -valued inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ which induce equivalent to the given one norms on \mathcal{M} the appropriate C^* -algebras $\text{End}_A^{(1,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ of all adjointable bounded A -linear operators on \mathcal{M} are $*$ -isomorphic, or not? This question is non-trivial since even non- $*$ -isomorphic non-unital C^* -algebras can possess a common multiplier C^* -algebra: For example, on the closed interval $[0, 2] \subset \mathbf{R}$ consider the C^* -algebra of all continuous functions vanishing at zero together with the C^* -algebra of all continuous function vanishing at one. They are non- $*$ -isomorphic, but the multiplier

C^* -algebra $C([0,2])$ of them consisting of all continuous functions on $[0,2]$ is the same in both cases. That is, additional arguments are needed to describe the relation between the multiplier C^* -algebras of non- $*$ -isomorphic C^* -algebras of "compact" operators on some Banach C^* -modules carrying non-isomorphic C^* -valued inner products. One quickly realizes that the techniques of multiplier theory are not suitable to shed some more light on this general situation. One has to turn back to C^* -theory and to the properties of $*$ -isomorphisms, as well as to the theory of Hilbert C^* -modules.

Theorem: *Let A be a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{M} be a Banach A -module carrying two A -valued inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ which induce equivalent to the given one norms. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The Hilbert A -modules $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1\}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2\}$ are isomorphic as Hilbert C^* -modules.*
- (ii) *The Hilbert A -modules $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1\}$ and $\{\mathcal{M}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2\}$ are isometrically isomorphic as Banach A -modules.*
- (iii) *The C^* -algebras $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ and $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ of all "compact" bounded A -linear operators on both these Hilbert C^* -modules, respectively, are $*$ -isomorphic.*
- (iv) *The unital C^* -algebras $\text{End}_A^{(1,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ of all adjointable bounded A -linear operators on both these Hilbert C^* -modules, respectively, are $*$ -isomorphic.*

Further equivalent conditions in terms of positive invertible quasi-multipliers of $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ can be found in [5].

PROOF. The equivalence of (i) and (ii) was shown by E. C. Lance [9], and the equivalence of (i) and (iii) turns out from a result for C^* -algebras of L. G. Brown [2, Thm. 4.2, Prop. 4.4] in combination with [5, Prop. 2.3]. Referring to G. G. Kasparov [8, Thm. 1] the implication (iii) \rightarrow (iv) yields naturally.

Now, suppose the unital C^* -algebras $\text{End}_A^{(1,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ and $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ are $*$ -isomorphic. Denote this $*$ -isomorphism by ω . One quickly checks that the formula

$$x \in \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle_{1, Op.} = \theta_{x,x}^{(1)} \in K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$$

defines a $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ -valued inner product on the Hilbert A -module \mathcal{M} regarding it as a right $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ -module. Moreover, the set $\{K(x) : x \in \mathcal{M}, K \in K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})\}$ is norm-dense inside \mathcal{M} since the limit equality

$$x = \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\theta_{x,x}^{(1)} (\theta_{x,x}^{(1)} + n^{-1})^{-1})(x)$$

holds for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

As a first step we consider the intersection of the two C^* -subalgebras and two-sided ideals $\omega(K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}))$ and $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ inside the unital C^* -algebra $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$. The intersection of them is a C^* -subalgebra and two-sided ideal of $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ again. It contains the operators

$$\theta_{x,y}^{(2)} \cdot \omega(\theta_{z,t}^{(1)}) = \theta_{\omega(\theta_{z,t}^{(1)})^*(x),y}^{(2)} = \theta_{\omega(\theta_{t,z}^{(1)})(x),y}^{(2)}$$

for every $x, y, z, t \in \mathcal{M}$. Since the set of all finite linear combinations of special operators $\{\theta_{z,t}^{(1)} : z, t \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is norm-dense inside $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ by definition the intersection of $\omega(K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}))$ and $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ contains the set

$$\{\theta_{\omega(K^{(1)})(x),y}^{(2)} : K^{(1)} \in K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}), x, y \in \mathcal{M}\}.$$

Because of the limit equality

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(\theta_{x,x}^{(1)}(\theta_{x,x}^{(1)} + n^{-1})^{-1})(x) \\ &= \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{M}} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(\theta_{x,x}^{(1)})\omega((\theta_{x,x}(1) + n^{-1})^{-1})(x) \end{aligned}$$

the set $\{\omega(K^{(1)})(x) : K^{(1)} \in K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}), x \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is norm-dense inside \mathcal{M} . Consequently, the intersection of $\omega(K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}))$ and $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ inside the unital C*-algebra $\text{End}_A^{(2,*)}(\mathcal{M})$ contains the set of "compact" operators $\{\theta_{x,y}^{(2)} : x, y \in \mathcal{M}\}$ generating one of the intersecting sets, $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$, completely, and the inclusion relation $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \omega(K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}))$ holds.

Secondly, by the symmetry of the situation and of the arguments the inclusion relation $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M}) \subseteq \omega^{-1}(K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M}))$ holds, too, inside the unital C*-algebra $\text{End}_A^{(1,*)}(\mathcal{M})$. Both inclusions together prove that ω realizes a *-isomorphism of the C*-algebras $K_A^{(1)}(\mathcal{M})$ and $K_A^{(2)}(\mathcal{M})$ automatically, what implies (iii) and hence, (i). •

Whether the *-isomorphism of the C*-algebras of "compact" bounded A -linear operators of two different Hilbert A -modules \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} over some C*-algebras A implies their isomorphism as Hilbert C*-modules, or not? The answer is negative, even in the quite well-behaved cases. Counterexamples appear because of nontrivial K_0 -groups of A , for instance. Let A be the hyperfinite type II_1 W*-factor. Set $\mathcal{M} = A$ and $\mathcal{N} = A^2$ with the usual A -valued inner products. Both these Hilbert A -modules are self-dual and finitely generated. Obviously, $K_A(\mathcal{M})$ and $K_A(\mathcal{N})$ are *-isomorphic to A as C*-algebras. Nevertheless, \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are not isomorphic as Banach A -modules because of the non-existence of non-unitary isometries for the identity caused by the existence of a faithful trace functional on A . The K_0 -group of A equals \mathbf{R} , i. e., it is non-trivial, and $A \cong A \otimes M_2(\mathbf{C})$.

In general, one could search for some special unital C*-algebra A with non-trivial K_0 -group, a natural number $n \geq 1$ and two projections $p, q \in M_n(A)$ such that for every $N \geq n$ the finitely generated Hilbert A -modules $A^N p$ and $A^N q$ are non-isomorphic (i. e., $[p] \neq [q] \in K_0(A)$), but the C*-algebras $pM_n(A)p$ and $qM_n(A)q$ are *-isomorphic.

Closing, we pose the problem whether for a Banach C*-module \mathcal{M} over a C*-algebra A carrying two A -valued inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ which induce equivalent to the given one norms on \mathcal{M} the appropriate Banach algebras of all (not necessarily adjointable) bounded A -linear operators on \mathcal{M} are *isometrically* multiplicatively isomorphic, or not, especially in the case of non-isomorphic Hilbert structures. Those properties of all these kinds of operator algebras which are preserved switching from one A -valued inner product on \mathcal{M} to another have to be investigated in the future extending results for the "compact" case of [3, 5].

References

- [1] M. Baillet, Y. Denizeau, J.-F. Havet, *Indice d'une esperance conditionelle*, Comp. Math. 66(1988), 199-236.
- [2] L. G. Brown, *Close hereditary C^* -subalgebras and the structure of quasi-multipliers*, MSRI preprint # 11211-85, Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA, 1985.
- [3] L. G. Brown, J. A. Mingo, Nien-Tsu Shen, *Quasi-multipliers and embeddings of Hilbert C^* -bimodules*, Canad. J. Math. 46(1994), 1150-1174.
- [4] M. Frank, *Self-duality and C^* -reflexivity of Hilbert C^* -modules*, Zeitschr. Anal. Anwendungen 9(1990), 165-176.
- [5] M. Frank, *Geometrical aspects of Hilbert C^* -modules*, preprint 22/1993, University of Copenhagen, Denmark, 1993.
- [6] K. K. Jensen, K. Thomsen, *Elements of KK -Theory*, (Serie: Mathematics: Theory & Applications), Birkhäuser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 1991.
- [7] R. V. Kadison, *Isometries of operator algebras*, Ann. of Math. 54(1951), 325-338.
- [8] G. G. Kasparov, *Hilbert C^* -modules: The theorems of Stinespring and Voiculescu*, J. Operator Theory 4(1980), 133-150.
- [9] E. C. Lance, *Unitary operators on Hilbert C^* -modules*, Bull. London Math. Soc. 26(1994), 363-366.
- [10] E. C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules – a toolkit for operator algebraists*, to appear in Lecture Notes of the London Math. Soc., Cambridge University Press, 1995, circulated as a lecture note preprint.
- [11] H. Lin, *Injective Hilbert C^* -modules*, Pacific J. Math. 154(1992), 131-164.
- [12] W. L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over B^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 182(1973), 443-468.
- [13] Nien-Tsu Shen, *Embeddings of Hilbert bimodules*, Ph.D., Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA, 1982.
- [14] Y. Watatani, *Index for C^* -subalgebras*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 424(1990).
- [15] N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K -theory and C^* -algebras – a friendly approach*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993.

Fed. Rep. Germany
Universität Leipzig
FB Mathematik/Informatik
Mathematisches Institut
Augustusplatz 10
D-04109 Leipzig
frank@mathematik.uni-leipzig.d400.de